



Re: yst thpo

Lana Gravatt

to:

Conrad Fisher, Sara.Mello

10/27/2014 12:55 PM

Cc:

Catherine.Nadals

Hide Details

From: Lana Gravatt <yst.thpo@gmail.com>

To: Conrad Fisher <esevone1@yahoo.com>, Sara.Mello@icfi.com

Cc: Catherine.Nadals@stb.dot.gov

History: This message has been forwarded.

Conrad geez, STB/TRRC are not giving us any room to adequately identify any of the build alternatives. I didn't realize there is so much language in the regulations on alternative section 106 process which pretty much allows them to justify not adequately identifying our cultural resources. Which has always been the issue. as for the whereas: all it needs to state is the following:

Whereas: because of the several alternative build routes, the effects on historic properties (which includes tribal sites of significance) cannot be fully determined prior to any approval of the TRRC project by the STB, and there for a programmatic agreement(PA) is appropriate pursuant to Section 106 regulations at 36 CFR 800.14 (b)(1)(ii);and etc

Whereas, historic properties (which includes tribal sites of significance)within the actual boundaries of APE, will be protected and given every consideration under the American Indian religious act of 1978 within the Nepa Process and before approval of TRRC by STB, etc

This type of language is warranted because of the known historic sites not yet fully identified by the N. Cheyenne and the land owners within the actual APE, I hope this is helpful???

Sara or Catherine please send this out on your list serve of consulting parties for this project...

On Fri, Oct 24, 2014 at 11:37 PM, Conrad Fisher <esevone1@yahoo.com> wrote:

thanks Lana

On Monday, October 20, 2014 1:10 PM, Lana Gravatt <yst.thpo@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Conrad, ill send you rewording for that whereas ok, sorry if I was rude, but she is really confusing and she wouldn't let anyone really talk or was talking over them, lol